		F11 ~-			
1	DAVID W. SHAPIRO (New York State Bar No. 205 United States Attorney	(4054) (2) (3) (4) (5)			
2	Cinica States Attorney	9:26			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTE	RICT COURT FOR THE 1 6 6 7 7			
9	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	OAK AND	Division — U U U U			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.	Criminal No. CR-			
12	Plaintiff.)				
13	v.	<u>VIOLATIONS</u> : 26 USC § 7206(2) -			
14	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON.	Aiding and Assisting in the Preparation Of False Tax Returns			
15	Defendant.	OAKLAND VENUE			
16					
17	INDIC	<u>I M E N T</u>			
18	The Grand Jury charges:				
19	COUNTS ONE THROUGH THIRTY-NINE: (26)	J.S.C. § 7206(2))			
20	On or about the dates set forth below, in the	Northern District of California, the defendant			
21	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON				
22	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully	aid and assist in, and procure, counsel, and advise,			
23		enue Service of false and fraudulent U.S. Individual			
24	Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040 and 1040X, for t	he taxpayers and calendar years specified below in			
25	that the defendant Johnson prepared the tax returns	described below which contained one or more			
25	Schedules C (Profit or Loss From Business) which claimed net losses, whereas, the defendant then and				
27	there well knew the taxpayers were not entitled to	claim such net losses.			
28		\int_{I}^{I}			

1	COL	<u></u>	DATE OF	TAVDAVEDES	CALENDAR	SCHEDULE C LOSSES CLAIMED ON RETURN
2			OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	TAXPAYER(S)	TAX YEAR	CLAIMED ON RETURN
3			03-22-98	Bobby E. Brazil & Greta D. Brazil	1994	\$3,419
4 5		2	03 22 98	Bobby E. Brazil & Greta D. Brazil	1995	\$4.610
6		3	02.05.99	Bobby E. Brazil & Greta D. Brazil	1996	\$7,383
7 -		1	04 15 98	Bobby E. Brazıl & Greta D. Brazil	1997	\$10,356
9		Š	04.15.99	Bobby E. Brazil & Greta D. Brazil	1998	\$6,189
10		6	04.15.99	Byron E. Bynum & Ernestine O. Bynum	1995	\$2,012
11		-	06/03/99	Byron E. Bynum & Ernestine O. Bynum	1996	\$3,530
13		8	06:03-99	Byton E. Bynum & Ernestine O. Bynum	1997 ∡	\$2,434
14		9	()4-16-99	Byron E. Bynum & Ernestine O. Bynum	1998	\$5,855
15 16		10	01 07-98	Thermon W. Dunn & Alice M. Dunn	1994	\$3,005
17		11	01-07-98	Thermon W. Dunn & Alice M. Dunn	1995	\$4,049
18		12	01/07/98	Thermon W. Dunn & Alice M. Dunn	1996	\$5,194
19 20	4	13	04-15-98	Thermon W. Dunn & Alice M. Dunn	1997	\$4,202
21		1.1	04 15 99	Thermon W. Dunn & Alice M. Dunn	1998	\$10,443
22		l S	05, 22, 98	Charles M. Henderson & Janice M. Henderson	1994	\$6,623
23 24		16-	05-22-98	Charles M. Henderson & Janice M. Henderson	1995	\$7.611
25		1 -	05 21 98	Charles M. Henderson & Janice M. Henderson	1996	\$6,057
25 27		!>	05.22.98	Charles M. Henderson & Jamee M. Henderson	1997	\$5.874

1		COUNT	DATE OF		CALENDAR	SCHEDULE C LOSSES
2			OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	TAXPAYER(S)	TAX YEAR	CLAIMED ON RETURN
3 ;		ļ¢	04 15 99	Charles M. Henderson & Janice M. Henderson	1998	\$3,817
4 5		20	04 16 98	Jimmie Patin & Ava M. Patin	1994	\$4,476
6		21	04 16/98	Jimmie Patin & Ava M. Patin	1995	\$4,912
7		22	04 16/98	Jimmie Patin & Ava M. Patin	1996	\$5,070
8		23	04/15/98	Jimmie Patin & Ava M. Patin	1997	\$5,305
10		24	04:15.99	Jimmie Patin & Ava M. Patin	1998	\$4,021
11 12		25	04/30/98	Kenneth W. Scott & Ethel I. Scott	1994	\$9,852
13		26	05/11/98	Kenneth W. Scott & Ethel I. Scott	1995	\$7,582
14		27	05.10/98	Kenneth W. Scott & Ethel I. Scott	1996	\$9,029
15 16		28	04-29-98	Kenneth W. Scott & Ethel I. Scott	1997	\$13,452
17		29	04-15.99	Kenneth W. Scott & Ethel I. Scott	1998	\$13,044
18		30	02.19 98	Albert E. Hall & Louise Hall	1994	\$5,648
19 20		31	02.18/98	Albert E. Hall & Louise Hall	1995	\$5,426
21		32	02 18.98	Albert E. Hall & Louise Hall	1996	\$11,412
22		33	04 15 98	Albert E. Hall & Louise Hall	1997	\$12,988
24	and water to the state of the s	3.4	03 21 99	Dewayne Johnson & Amy M. Johnson	1995	\$4,048
25	#	3.5	13 21 90	Dewayne Johnson & Amy M. Johnson	1996	\$4,297
26 27		36	-115 90	Dewayne Johnson & Amy M. Johnson	1998	\$4.693

1	
2	
3	
3	:
5	
6	
7	<u>CC</u>
8	<u>C</u> (
9	; <u>C</u>
10	
11	th
12	th
13	
13 14	1
15	ye So
16	25
17 18	ar H
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	U ye So ar H

23

24

25

26

28

COUNT	DATE OF OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	TAXPAYER(S)	CALENDAR TAX YEAR	SCHEDULE C LOSSES CLAIMED ON RETURN
3	04 20 98	Christopher McIver & Rose McIver	1994	\$9,004
38	29 (11 7)	Christopher McIver & Rose McIver	1995	\$9,327
.39	04 15 99	Keith Jones & Dandriel C. Jones	1998	\$9,805

In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNT FORTY: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about September 8, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return. Form 1040X, for Albert E. Hall and Louise Hall for the calendar year 1996 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1996 which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed an increase in charitable contributions to a total amount of \$14,842, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Albert E. Hall and Louise Hall were entitled to claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$6,230.

In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNT <u>FORTY-ONE:</u> (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about April 15, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Albert E. Hall and Louise Hall for the calendar year 1998 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1998 which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the amount of \$12,137, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Albert E. Hall and Louise Hall were entitled to

1	claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$961.
2	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
3	<u>COUNT FORTY-TWO:</u> (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
4	On or about April 15, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
5	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
6	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise
7	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
8	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Christopher McIver and Rose McIver for the calendar
9	year 1997 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1997 which contained a
10	Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) which claimed a net loss in the amount of \$9,373 and
11	which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the
12	amount of \$715, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Christopher McIver and Rose
13	McIver were not entitled to such net loss and were entitled to claim charitable contributions in the
14	amount of only \$358.
15	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
16	COUNT FORTY-THREE: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
17	On or about April 25, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
18	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
19	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise
20	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
21	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1940, for Christopher McIver and Rose McIver for the calenda
22	year 1998 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1998 which contained a
23	Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the amount of \$6,006,
24	whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Christopher McIver and Rose McIver were
25	hentitled to claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,500.
26	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
27	
28	

COUNTS FORTY-FOUR THROUGH FORTY-SEVEN: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

3 ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

4 then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,

5 The preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of false and fraudulent U.S. Individual

6 Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040, for Valerie Burley for the calendar years specified below in that the

7 defendant Johnson prepared the tax returns described below which contained a Schedule C (Profit or

8 Loss from Business) and a Schedule E (Supplemental Income and Loss) which claimed net losses,

9 whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Valerie Burley was not entitled to claim such net

10 losses.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

2.5

25

27

2.8

1

2

COUNT	DATE OF OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	CALENDAR TAX YEAR	SCHEDULE C LOSSES CLAIMED ON RETURN	SCHEDULE E LOSSES CLAIMED ON RETURN
44	04/15/96	1995	\$ 2,278	\$1,012
45	04/15/97	1996	\$1,601	\$1,104
46	04/15/98	1997	\$2,319	\$ 575
47	04/15/99	1998	\$2,532	\$ 789

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNTS FORTY-EIGHT THROUGH FIFTY-ONE: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns, Forms1940, for Bearl T. Harris and Artrenia M. Harris for the calendar years specified below in that the defendant Johnson prepared the tax returns described below which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions and unreimbursed uniform expenses, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Bearl T. Harris and Artrenia M. Harris were not entitled to claim such charitable contributions and unreimbursed uniform expenses.

 1 2 	COUNT	DATE OF OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	CALENDAR TAX YEAR	CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS	UNREIMBURSED UNIFORM EXPENSES
3	+>	08 17 98	1995	\$6,010	\$368
4	49	08-17-98	1996	\$6,160	\$382
5	50	08/17 98	1997	\$6,190	\$389
6	51	06/23/99	1998	\$6,875	\$455

In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNT FIFTY-TWO: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

2.2

On or about March 27, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return. Form 1040X, for Rodney and Shirley Callahan for the calendar year 1995 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1995 which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) which claimed a net loss in the amount of \$16,784, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney Callahan and Shirley Callahan were entitled to claim a net loss on the Schedule C in the amount of only \$2,609.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNTS FIFTY-THREE THROUGH FIFTY-FIVE: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about the dates set forth below, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of false and fraudulent U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns, Forms 1040, for Rodney S. Callahan and Shirley Y. Callahan for the calendar years specified below in that the defendant Johnson prepared the tax returns described below which contained a Schedule C (Protit or Loss from Business) which claimed a net loss and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed unreimbursed employee business expenses, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney S. Callahan and Shirley Y. Callahan were not

entitled to claim such net losses and such unreimbursed employee business expenses.

	COUNT	DATE OF OFFENSE ON OR ABOUT	CALENDAR TAX YEAR	SCHEDULE C LOSSES CLAIMED ON RETURN	UNREIMBURSED EMPLOYEE BUSINESS EXPENSES CLAIMED ON RETURN
:	53	04:07:98	1996	\$17,254	\$3,919
	54	07/15/98	1997	\$17,964	\$2,914
	55	04.15 99	1998	\$18,860	\$3,777

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNT FIFTY-SIX: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

2.2

On or about October 4. 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return. Form 1040X, for Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne D. Swanson for the calendar year 1995 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for 1995 which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed unreimbursed employee business expenses in the amount of \$2,433 and charitable contributions in the amount of \$5,904, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne D. Swanson were not entitled to such unreimbursed employee business expenses and were entitled to claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,200.

In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).

COUNT FIFTY-SEVEN: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))

On or about August 31, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant

ARLANDA E. JOHNSON

then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise, the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent Amended U.S. Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040X, for Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne D.

1 .	Swanson for the calendar year 1996 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the amended tax return for
2	1996 which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed unreimbursed employee
3	business expenses in the amount of \$2.663 and charitable contributions in the amount of \$6,458,
4	whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne D. Swanson
5	were not entitled to such unreimbursed employee business expenses and were entitled to claim
6	charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,200.
7	In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).
8	COUNT FIFTY-EIGHT: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
9	On or about October 7, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
LO	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
11	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
12	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
13	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne D. Swanson for
14	the calendar year 1997 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1997 which contained
15	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Shaperite Concepts which claimed a net loss in the
16	amount of \$3,141, and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed
17	unreimbursed employee business expenses in the amount of \$2,673 and charitable contributions in the
18	in the amount of \$6,281, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney B. Swanson and
19	Franchonne D. Swanson were entitled to a net loss from Shaperite Concepts in the amount of only
20	\$2,161, were not entitled to such unreimbursed employee business expenses, and were entitled to claim
21	charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,800.
22	In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).
23	COUNT FIFTY-NINE: (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
24	On or about April 15, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
25	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
26	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
27	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
28	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Rodney B. Swanson and Franchonne B. Swanson for

i	
1	the calendar year 1998 in that the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1998 which contained
2	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Shaperite Concepts which claimed a net loss in the
3	amount of \$3,294, and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed
4	unreimbursed employee business expenses in the amount of \$3,085 and charitable contributions in the
5	in the amount of \$6,457, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Rodney B. Swanson and
6	Franchonne D. Swanson were entitled to a net loss from Shaperite Concepts in the amount of only
7	\$2,236, were not entitled to such unreimbursed employee business expenses, and were entitled to claim
8	charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,200.
9	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
LO	<u>COUNT SIXTY</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
1.1	On or about April 15, 1995, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
12	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
13	then a resident of Oakland. California. did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
14	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
15	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Yolanda V. Brown for the calendar year 1994 in that
16	the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1994 which claimed head of household filing status,
17	which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Photography which claimed a net
18	loss of \$648, and which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Yo-Rainbow
19	Flowers which claimed expenses for office expense, repairs, taxes and license, travel, utilities and a
20	table totaling \$1,846, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Yolanda V. Brown was not
21	entitled to claim head of household filing status, was not entitled to claim such net loss from
22	Photography and was not entitled to claim such expenses for Yo-Rainbow Flowers.
23	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
24	<u>COUNT SIXTY-ONE</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
25	On or about April 15, 1996, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
26	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
27	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
28	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.

1	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Yolanda V. Brown for the calendar year 1995 in that
2	the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1995 which claimed head of household filing status,
3	which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Photography which claimed a net
4	loss of \$644, and which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Yo-Rainbow
5	Flowers which claimed expenses for office expense, repairs, taxes and licenses, travel, and utilities
6	totaling \$1,079, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Yolanda V. Brown was not
7	entitled to claim head of household filing status, was not entitled to claim such net loss from
8	Photography and was not entitled to claim such expenses for Yo-Rainbow Flowers.
9	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
10	<u>COUNT SIXTY-TWO</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
11	On or about April 15, 1997, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
12	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
13	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
14	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
15	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Yolanda V. Brown for the calendar year 1996 in that
16	the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1996 which claimed head of household filing status,
17	which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Photography which claimed a net
18	loss of S696, and which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Yo-Rainbow
19	Flowers which claimed expenses for office expense, repairs, taxes and licenses, travel, and utilities
20	totaling \$882, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Yolanda V. Brown was not entitled
21	to claim head of household filing status, was not entitled to claim such net loss from Photography and
22	was not entitled to claim such expenses for Yo-Rainbow Flowers.
23	In violation of Title 26. United States Code. Section 7206(2).
24	<u>COUNT SIXTY-THREE</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
25	On or about April 15, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
26	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
27	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
28	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.

1	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Yolanda V. Brown for the calendar year 1997 in that		
2	the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1997 which claimed head of household filing status,		
3	which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Photography which claimed a net		
4	loss of \$1,497, and which contained a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Yo-Rainbow		
5	Flowers which claimed expenses for insurance, office expense, repairs, meals, utilities and wages		
6	totaling \$1.431, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Yolanda V. Brown was not		
7	entitled to claim head of household filing status, was not entitled to claim such net loss from		
8	Photography and was not entitled to claim such expenses for Yo-Rainbow Flowers.		
9	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).		
10	<u>COUNT SIXTY-FOUR</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))		
11	On or about April 15, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant		
12	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON		
13	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,		
14	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.		
15	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Yolanda V. Brown for the calendar year 1998 in that		
16	the defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1998 which claimed head of household filing status,		
17	which contained a Schedule C (Protit or Loss From Business) for Yo-Rainbow Flowers which claimed		
18	expenses for insurance, office expense, repairs meals, utilities and wages totaling \$1,370, whereas, the		
19	defendant then and there well knew that Yolanda V. Brown was not entitled to claim head of		
20	household filing status and was not entitled to claim such expenses for Yo-Rainbow Flowers.		
21	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).		
22	<u>COUNT SIXTY-FIVE</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))		
23	On or about April 15, 1995, in the Northern District of California, the defendant		
24	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON		
25	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,		
26	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.		
27	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Kevin Brown for the calendar year 1994 in that the		
2.8	defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1994 which claimed single filing status, and which		

1	contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the amount of
2	\$3.371, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Kevin Brown was not entitled to claim
3	single filing status and was entitled to claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,000.
4	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).
5	<u>COUNT SIXTY-SIX</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
6	On or about April 15, 1996, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
7	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
8	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
9	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
10	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Kevin Brown for the calendar year 1995 in that the
11	defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1995 which claimed single filing status, which contained
12	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Image Services which claimed a net loss of \$6,027
13	and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the
14	amount of \$2,749, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Kevin Brown was not entitled
15	to claim single filing status, was not entitled to such net loss from Image Services, and was entitled to
16	claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,000.
17	In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).
18	<u>COUNT SIXTY-SEVEN</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))
19	On or about April 15, 1997, in the Northern District of California, the defendant
20	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON
21	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,
22	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.
23	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Kevin Brown for the calendar year 1996 in that the
24	defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1996 which claimed single filing status, which contained
25	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Image Services which claimed a net loss of \$2,124,
26	and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the
27	-amount of \$3.127, whereas, the defendant then and there well knew that Kevin Brown was not entitled
28	to claim single filing status, was not entitled to such net loss from Image Services, and was entitled to

1.3

And the state of t

1	claim charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,000.	
2	In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).	
3	<u>COUNT SIXTY-EIGHT</u> : (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))	
4	On or about April 15, 1998, in the Northern District of California, the defendant	
5	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON	
6	then a resident of Oakland. California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,	
7	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.	
8	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Kevin Brown for the calendar year 1997 in that the	
9	defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1997 which claimed single filing status, which contained	
.0	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Image Services which claimed a net loss of \$3,592,	
.1	and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the	
.2	amount of \$2,683 and other taxes in the amount of \$1,000, whereas, the defendant then and there well	
L 3	knew that Kevin Brown was not entitled to claim single filing status, was not entitled to such net loss	
L4	from Image Services, was not entitled to claim a deduction for other taxes, and was entitled to claim	
L 5	charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,000.	
L6	In violation of Title 26. United States Code, Section 7206(2).	
L7	<u>COUNT SIXTY-NINE:</u> (26 U.S.C. § 7206(2))	
18	On or about April 15, 1999, in the Northern District of California, the defendant	
1.9	ARLANDA E. JOHNSON	
20	then a resident of Oakland, California, did willfully aid and assist in, and procure, counsel and advise,	
21	the preparation and presentation to the Internal Revenue Service of a false and fraudulent U.S.	
22	Individual Income Tax Return, Form 1040, for Kevin Brown for the calendar year 1998 in that the	
23	defendant Johnson prepared the tax return for 1998 which claimed single filing status, which contained	
24	a Schedule C (Profit or Loss From Business) for Image Services which claimed a net loss of \$4,462,	
25	and which contained a Schedule A (Itemized Deductions) which claimed charitable contributions in the	
26	amount of \$2,351 and other taxes in the amount of \$428, whereas, the defendant then and there well	
27	knew that Kevin Brown was not entitled to claim single filing status, was not entitled to such loss from	
28	Image Services, was not entitled to claim a deduction for other taxes, and was entitled to claim	

1	charitable contributions in the amount of only \$1,000.		
2	In violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 7206(2).		
3	٠	A True Bill	
4			
5	Dated: 03/37/02	Dandra Chinson	
6	T	OREPERSON	
7	DAVID W. SHAPIRO		
8	United States Attorney		
9	J. DOUGLAS WILSON		
10	J. DOUGLAS WILSON Chief, Criminal Section		
11	Approved as to Form		
12			
13	AUSA: DENIER	.	
14		-	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23		•	
24			
25			
26			
27			